

Thermionic Electron Emission of Tungsten Bronzes.

$K_{0.30}WO_3$ and $Rb_{0.30}WO_3$

F. Zocchi

Istituto di Metodologie Avanzate Inorganiche,
Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, C.P. 10,
00016 Monterotondo Scalo, Roma, Italy

Z. Naturforsch. **44a**, 87–88 (1989);
received November 2, 1988

The work functions and thermionic constants of the hexagonal tungsten bronzes $K_{0.30}WO_3$ ($\phi = 1.76$ eV, $A = 3$ A/cm² K²) and $Rb_{0.30}WO_3$ ($\phi = 1.88$ eV, $A = 10$ A/cm² K²) are determined and compared with those of the corresponding alkaline metals and hexagonal $Cs_{0.305}WO_3$.

Key words: Work function – Tungsten bronzes.

Tungsten bronzes, M_xWO_3 , where M is a metal and $x < 1$, are non-stoichiometric compounds [1] which have a remarkable importance in several fields such as electrochemistry, catalysis, crystallography, etc. In a previous paper [2] the thermionic electron emission of the hexagonal bronze $Cs_{0.305}WO_3$ was studied. Also bronzes K_xWO_3 and Rb_xWO_3 can crystallize in the hexagonal system [3]. Hexagonal alkaline tungsten bronzes have similar structures based on a framework of corner sharing WO_3 octahedra forming hexagonal tunnels where alkaline atoms are located. Hussain [4] has shown that hexagonal M_xWO_3 , where M is K, Rb or Cs, has the same composition range ($0.19 \leq x \leq 0.33$) in the phase diagram. Therefore it seemed interesting to measure the thermionic electron emission of $K_{0.30}WO_3$ and $Rb_{0.30}WO_3$ and to compare the data with those [2] of the hexagonal cesium bronze.

$K_{0.30}WO_3$ and $Rb_{0.30}WO_3$ were prepared according to the method of Conroy and Podolsky [5] by heating a mixture of alkaline halide (in excess), WO_2 and WO_3 in a sealed quartz tube under a vacuum at 900 °C for 3 h. 99.998% KCl (Koch and Light), 99.99% RbCl (Aldrich), 99.9% WO_2 (Noah), and 99.99% WO_3 (Atomergic Chemetals) were used as reactants. The methods of purification and analysis of the products and also the experimental apparatus and procedure have already been described [2].

Reprint requests to Dr. F. Zocchi, Istituto di Metodologie Avanzate Inorganiche, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, C.P. 10, 00016 Monterotondo Scalo, Roma, Italy.

The thermionic electron emission of $K_{0.30}WO_3$ was studied in the temperature range 459–625 °C. The study of the electron emission of $Rb_{0.30}WO_3$ was carried out in the 440–603 °C range.

Figure 1 shows the experimental data. $J_{0\text{sat}}$ is the zero field saturation current density and T the absolute temperature. From the slopes of the straight lines of Fig. 1 we obtain the values of the work function of $K_{0.30}WO_3$ and $Rb_{0.30}WO_3$. Extrapolation of the straight lines for $1/T$ approaching zero gives the values of the thermionic constant for these bronzes.

Table 1 shows the work function and thermionic constant of $K_{0.30}WO_3$ and $Rb_{0.30}WO_3$ and also the corresponding data for $Cs_{0.305}WO_3$ and alkaline metals. Surprisingly, while the work functions of the alkaline metals are in the order $\phi_{Cs} < \phi_{Rb} < \phi_K$, the work functions of the hexagonal alkaline tungsten bronzes follow the reverse order. We can advance the hypothesis that, under the operating conditions, the surface of a hexagonal alkaline bronze is covered with




Fig. 1. $J_{0\text{sat}}/T^2$ for $K_{0.30}WO_3$ (circles) and $Rb_{0.30}WO_3$ (black triangles) as a function of the reciprocal of the absolute temperature.

0932-0784 / 89 / 0100-0087 \$ 01.30/0. – Please order a reprint rather than making your own copy.



Dieses Werk wurde im Jahr 2013 vom Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in Zusammenarbeit mit der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V. digitalisiert und unter folgender Lizenz veröffentlicht: Creative Commons Namensnennung-Keine Bearbeitung 3.0 Deutschland Lizenz.

Zum 01.01.2015 ist eine Anpassung der Lizenzbedingungen (Entfall der Creative Commons Lizenzbedingung „Keine Bearbeitung“) beabsichtigt, um eine Nachnutzung auch im Rahmen zukünftiger wissenschaftlicher Nutzungsformen zu ermöglichen.

This work has been digitalized and published in 2013 by Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in cooperation with the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science under a Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 3.0 Germany License.

On 01.01.2015 it is planned to change the License Conditions (the removal of the Creative Commons License condition “no derivative works”). This is to allow reuse in the area of future scientific usage.

Table 1. Work function and thermionic constant of hexagonal alkaline tungsten bronzes and corresponding alkaline metals.

Substance	Work function (eV)	Thermionic constant (A/cm ² K ²)	Reference
K _{0.30} WO ₃	1.76	3	this work
Rb _{0.30} WO ₃	1.88	10	this work
Cs _{0.305} WO ₃	2.12	115	[2]
K	2.39		[6]
Rb	2.21		[6]
Cs	2.14		[6]

a film of alkaline metal [7]. Moreover, even if the interpretation is not simple, we should admit that the interaction of the alkaline metal film with the WO₃ octahedra of the substrate is the greater, the smaller the alkaline metal. Actually, the interaction of the

cesium film with the substrate should be small; in fact, as it can be seen in Table 1, the work function of Cs_{0.30}WO₃ is practically equal to that of metallic cesium. The thermionic constant of Cs_{0.305}WO₃ is close to the universal constant 120 A/cm² K², therefore indicating that the whole surface participates to the electron emission. K_{0.30}WO₃ and Rb_{0.30}WO₃, instead, show rather low values of the thermionic constant, so indicating a patch emission. With the aim of explaining these anomalies, a theoretical work will be undertaken. It will take into account both the energy of the dipole alkaline metal-oxygen in WO₃ (that could explain the observed reverse order of the work function) and the aggregation energy of an alkaline metal film onto WO₃, which could explain the patch emission of potassium and rubidium hexagonal bronzes.

- [1] D. J. M. Bevan and P. Hagenmuller, *Non-Stoichiometric Compounds: Tungsten Bronzes, Vanadium Bronzes and Related Compounds*, Pergamon, Oxford 1975.
 [2] F. Zocchi, *J. Appl. Phys.* **56**, 2972 (1984).
 [3] A. Magneli, *Acta Chem. Scand.* **7**, 315 (1953).
 [4] A. Hussain, *Acta Chem Scand. Ser. A*, **A 32**, 479 (1978).

- [5] L. E. Conroy and G. Podolsky, *Inorg. Chem.* **7**, 614 (1968).
 [6] G.-A. Boutry and Dormont, *Philips Tech. Rev.* **30**, 225 (1969).
 [7] J.-L. Desplat and C. A. Papageorgopoulos, *Surface Sci.* **92**, 97 (1980).